SOME NEW RESULTS ON RELATIVELY REGULAR OPERATORS

[1] Awadh Bihari Yadav ,

Assistant professor, D. B. College ,Jainagar,Madhubani,LNMU,Darbhanga,Email-awadhbihariyadav11@gmail.com

[2]Dr Shashi Bhushan Rai ,*Associate Professor & Head of Department, B . N College, Patna University, Patna, Email-profsbrai@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

This pair characterizes its relative regularity or its usual solvability in terms of its independent zeros in the operator's continuum for the function of the operator on a Banach space admissible in the analytical calculus; in particular, the theorem of S. There is coverage of R. Caradus. In addition, an equivalent conclusion is obtained for a closed operator whose solution is non-empty and a function that is admissible in the subsequent geomorphic calculus, i.e. geomorphic in any open range comprising the expanded continuum such that its poles are not the operator's own values. In this paper for an element of an administrator on a Banach space permissible in the expository math, we portray its overall consistency or its ordinary feasibility as far as its confined zeros in the range of the administrator; specifically, a hypothesis of S. R. Caradus is secured. In addition, an undifferentiated from result is gotten for a shut administrator whose resolvent is non-unfilled and a capacity permissible in the comparing meromorphic analytics, that is, meromorphic in some open set containing the all-encompassing range with the end goal that its shafts are not eigenvalues of the Operator.

INTRODUCTION

We first need the accompanying lemma whose evidence might be found in [4] (see p. 125, issue 1 and Theorem 32.1).

LEMMA. Leave E and F alone Banach spaces. An E f:,(E, F) is generally standard z f and just if A(E) is shut and there exists a limited projection of E onto N(A) and a limited projection of F onto A(E) .

Suggestion 1. Let A : E → F be a carefully particular administrator. In the event that I) A(E) is shut II) there exists a limited projection of E onto N(A) at that point A will be a limited position administrator.

PROOF. By theory there exists a topological supplement of N(A), with $E = N(A) \oplus U$ shut. On the off chance that we characterize Aou = Au for every u ∈ U, clearly .A.o maps the Banach space U onto the Banach space A(.E'), besides Ao is injective. From the open planning Theorem it follows that Ao is a straight homeomorphism. Since An is carefully particular we should have faint U oo and consequently likewise faint A(E) < ∞.

On the off chance that An E F) is moderately ordinary, the hyphoteses I) and II) of Proposition 1 are checked by the Lemma, so the carefully solitary administrators which are likewise generally customary have limited position. When .E'=F we may sum up the last suggestion to each ϕ-ideal.

Suggestion 2. Let An E 3, 3 a ϕ - ideal. An E Y(E) for some nonnegative n if and just I f Am is moderately standard for some m > n.

As a result of Proposition it is normal to ask under which conditions a moderately standard Riesz administrator is likewise a limited position administrator. The accompanying hypothesis, which may have a free intrigue, will allow us to give an adequate condition on account of a complex Banach space. We first review that

$A \in \mathfrak{L}(E)$ is a Semifredholm administrator if A(E)is shut and at any rate one of the amounts $\alpha(A),\ \beta(A)$ is limited. The climb of an administrator An is the littlest nonnegative number p, when it exists, with the end goal that $N(A^p) = N(A^{p+1})$. The drop of An is the littlest nonnegative number q, when it exists, with the end goal that $= A^{q+1}(E)$. If N(An) is contained appropriately in N(An+1) for every number n, we characterize $A^n(E)$: Similarly if contains properly $A^{n+1}(E)$ for each nonnegative integer n, we define $q = \infty$. In the event that p, q are both limited they concur ([4], Proposition 38.3) and we will say that « A has limited chains ». A deliberate report relating the four amounts a(A), p, q, is found in [4].

THEOREM 1:

Let B alone an intricate boundless dimensional Banach space and An a Riesz administrator. The plummet q of An is limited and Aa(.E') is shut if and just if A has limited chains and All is a limited position administrator.

PROOF. Let $M = A^q(E)$. M is a shut invariant subspace under A, thus the limitation AQ of An on is a Riesz administrator ([4], Proposition 52.8). The administrator surjective and limited, thus the form M'→ .M" has a limited backwards, specifically a $\alpha(A_q') = 0$. Also AQ is a Riesz administrator since it is the form of a Riesz administrator ([4], Proposition 52.7). $A_q(M) = M$ being shut, Aa(M') is likewise shut ([4], Proposition 97), subsequently Aq is a Semifredholm administrator. Let us guess faint M' = ∞. At that point for some perplexing $\lambda I' - A_q'$ isn't a Fredholm administrator ([4], Proposition ~1.9).

However, since Aq is a Riesz administrator we should have $\beta(A_q') = \infty$. Therefore the index of must be vast and a strength Theorem due to Kato (see [2], Corollary V.1.7.) suggests that the record of must be unending in some annulus 0 (2, negating the way that AQ is a Riesz administrator. Thus $M' = \dim A^q(E) < \infty$. However, Aq is a limited position administrator if and just if 0 is a shaft of the resolvent $R = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ of A ([4], p. 230, Problem 2) and this occurs if and just if A has limited chains ([4], Proposition 50.2.

REMARK

It is anything but difficult to check that a projection P which is additionally a Riesz administrator is a limited position administrator, indeed $\alpha(I - P) =$ DimP(E) < ∞.. The last hypothesis, for q = 2, shows that this property is, all the more for the most part, valid for each Riesz administrator which has the accompanying properties: A2(E) shut, = A(.E').

In this paper, we concentrate some moderately normal administrators T with the end goal that T = T ST for some S ∈ L (H). We give some phantom and nearby ghostly properties among T and S. We additionally show that some generally customary administrators T have a nontrivial invariant subspace.

At last, we present and study the nearby ghostly property of generally ordinary administrators modulo a nilpotent administrator.

Let I be a complex Banach space, Op(I) the arrangement of all straight operators with area and range in I, and C(I) the arrangement of all shut operators in Op(I).For $T \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$, $D(T)$, $N(T)$, what's more, R{ T) will mean the area, invalid space, and scope of T, separately; let $L(X) := \{T \in \mathrm{C}(X) \mid D(T) = X\}$.

An operator in C(Y) with shut range is named ordinarily resolvable. And $T \in \mathrm{C}(X)$ is supposed to be generally ordinary iI Rt T j and N(T) are supplemented; it is notable that T o L(X) is such an administrator if and just if there exists $S \in L(X)$ such that $T \in L(X)$. The most recent years have seen a serious hypothetical improvement of generally ordinary operators with an expanding application to numerous fields, b1, 6d.

In 2J S. R. Caradus shows that if T is generally standard on I and f is a complex-esteemed capacity which is explanatory and univalent on a Cauchy area D containing $\sigma(T) \cup \{0\}$ furthermore, f(0) = 0, at that point the administrator f(T) characterized by methods for the Dunford—Taylor analytics is generally standard.

In this notice, given T e L(X), we characterize certain functions f of T 's operational calculus in such a way that f(T) is relatively regular or normally solvable; precisely if z,, ..., z, are the independent zeros of f in a{T j (only a finite number since o(T) is compact) with the respective commands n, ..., n z, at that point f(T) is moderately standard (ordinarily reasonable) if and just if (r, — T).

Let T e L [X) and /a complex-esteemed capacity explanatory on a limited Cauchy space D containing w(T j. Clearly there exist Cauchy areas D , Dz [D or D2 can be empty) such that $D = D_1 \cup D_2,\ D_1 \cap D_2 = \varnothing,\ f$, IS identically 0 on D, and not identically 0 on each connected component of D 2 then $\sigma(T) = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$, where $\sigma_i := \sigma(T) \cap D_i,\ i = 1, 2$ are disjoint spectral sets of T.

Note that the zeros of f in D are secluded with limited variety, and there is just a limited number of them in •2 in light of the fact that •2 is compact, say zt with limited requests n, > 0, I — 1, ..., k.

Define the following analytic functions on D,

$$P(z) := (z_1 - z)^{n_1} \cdots (z_k - z)^{n_k};$$

$$E(z) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z \in D_1 \\ 1 & \text{if } z \in D_2 \end{cases}; \qquad F(z) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \in D_1 \\ f(z)\,P(z)^{-1} & \text{if } z \in D_2 \end{cases};$$

Then we can write f(z) - F(z) E(z) P(z). This decomposition has been considered in b4j, and in (5 d where the following statement is proved.

LEMMA Leave X be a genuine or complex straight space, $A \in \mathrm{Op}(X),\ P(z)$ and Q(z) polynomials with no common zeros, $P(z) := (z_1 - z)^{n_1} \cdots (z_k - z)^{n_k}$ with $z_i \neq z_j$ *if* $i \neq j$. Then

(i) $N[P(A)] = N[(z_1 - A)^{n_1}] \oplus \cdots \oplus N[(z_k - A)^{n_k}]$.

(ii) $R[P(A)] = R[(z_1 - A)^{n_1}] \cap \cdots \cap R[(z_k - A)^{n_k}]$.

(iii) $P(A)\{N[Q(A)]\} = N[Q(A)]$.

Proof. See [5, (1.1) and (1.3)a. If D(A) — X, (i) and (ii) have been shown in b8 d.The following proposition is necessary for the proof of our main result.

PROPOSITION. Let X be a Banach space **and** S, T o **L(X) satisfying**

(a) $ST = TS$; (b) $R(ST) = R(S) \cap R(T)$; (c) $N(ST) = N(S) \oplus N(T)$;
(d) $N(T) \subset R(S)$ *and* $N(S) \subset R(T)$. *Then*:

(i) R(ST) is closed if and only if R(S) and R! T) are closed.

(ii) ST is generally ordinary if and just if S and T are moderately normal.

Proof:

(i) In the event that R(ST j is shut, at that point $S^{(-1)}\{R(ST)\} = R(T) + N(S) = R(T)$ is shut; as is R(S) on the grounds that ST — TS. The opposite is self-evident.

(ii) Assume S, T moderately standard, and think about A, B e L(X) with the end goal that SAS = S and TBT =T. Since I — AS and TB are projections onto N(S) and R(T), separately, and TB(I—AS)— — I—AS on the grounds that Nt S) R(T), we haveSTB(AS jT — STBT — STB(I — AS)T — ST — S(I — AS)T — ST, and subsequently ST is moderately normal.

Alternately, assume ST generally customary. Since $N(ST) = N(S) \oplus N(T)$is supplemented, likewise N(S) and N(T) are supplemented; and by ideals of $N(S) \subset R(T)$, $N(S)$is complemented in R(T), hence $R(T) = N(S) \oplus M$ As $S(M) = R(ST)$is complemented, we can write $X = M \oplus S^{-1}(L)$, where L is a supplement of S(M), subsequently, if N is a supplement of N(S) in S 'tL),We have $X = M \oplus N(S) \oplus N = R(T) \oplus N$, which show that T is moderately customary. Similarly we acquire that S is moderately regular.Now, we will demonstrate our fundamental outcome.

Theorem Let X be a complex Banach space, T e L(X) and f an intricate, systematic capacity in a confined Cauchy area D containing c(T)', let z, ..., z be the autonomous zeros of f in o(T) and n, ..., n p their individual requests. The following declarations are identical then: f(T) is relatively regular (normally soluble).

(i) (z, - T)"' is generally customary (typically resolvable) for I = 1, , k.

Proof. Let f(z)= F(z) Eiz) P(z) be the disintegration of f as appeared previously. To begin with, we will see that f(T) is generally ordinary if and just if P(T) is moreover.

By excellence of the properties of useful analytics (7), E(T) is a projection whose related disintegration I= o +2. where I, := N{Et T j} and <2 :' R E(T)} completely reduces T,' then F = Ft @ F 2 with T, e L(X,), i = 1, 2, and so f(F) = f(T,) 0+ /(F) Since E = 0 on D , we have f(F,) = 0 because D is a neighborhood of o - o(T); and E= 1 on D2 implies f(T2) - F(T 2) P(T 2) Analogously P(F) = P(F,) O P(T 2). and since #(z) has no zeros in , , the operator P(T) is bijective; in con- sequence N Pi T)} — N (F z)l R E(T)} and R Pi T)d = N E(T)}R P(T)}. And the operator F(T) is bijective as well because F(z) has no zeros in ct T j. Therefore we have

$$R[f(T)] = R[f(T_2)] = R[P(T_2)] = R[P(T)] \cap R[E(T)],$$
$$N[f(T)] = N(E) \oplus N[f(T_2)] = N(E) \oplus N[P(T_2)] = N(E) \oplus N[P(T)].$$

Now, because fi T = FI T) E(T) P(T) and Ft T) E(T) —- E(T) F(T) is obviously relatively normal, we infer from the above proposition that f(T) is relatively normal if and only if P(T) is also, and taking into account the lemma, from the proposal we again conclude that P{T) is relatively regular if and only if each of (z, — T)', I = 1, ..., k, is also.

We will continue in the same manner in the usually solvable situation. The theorem has been proven.

Remarks. I We have the decomposition f(z)-F(z)z with the above notation because of a function with the hypotheses of [2, Theorem 1 d; thus, our theorem encompasses the theorem of Caradus. Examples listed in b2d, on the other hand, indicate that we can not necessarily substitute (z, T) "with z, T in our result.

(2) We can check that a closely resembling hypothesis for capacities allowable in the meromorphic analytics of administrator T e C(I) can be given so that p(T)/1, which covers our above finding, is open.

Let/be a meromorphic work containing o,(T) on a Cauchy space D with the end goal that p-T is infused for each limited shaft p of/; select an o p(T))bD and take (z-T)' e L(X).

Since in the all-encompassing complex plane the all-inclusive range o, T) is compact, so f has (probably) a limited number of shafts in o, T), state P Ph I t h orders $n_0 \geqslant 0,\ n_j > 0,\ j = 1, ..., h;$ let $n = n_0 + n_1 + \cdots + n_h,_+$ and $Q(z) := \prod_{i=1}^{h} (p_i - z)^{n_i}.$ If $\infty \notin D,$, we take $n_0 = 0.$

Writing $G_\alpha(z) := f(z)\, Q(z)(\alpha - z)^{\ \ n}$ with the typical shows, the administrator/(F) of the geomorphic math is characterized by

$$f(T) := G_\alpha(T)(\alpha - T)^n Q(T)^{-1};$$

f[T) is a closed operator independent of z [5; (3.0)d such that [5; 3)

$$D[f(T)] = R[Q(T)] \cap D(T^{n_0}); N[f(T)] = N[G_\alpha(T)]$$
$$R[f(T)] = R[G_\alpha(T)] \cap D(T^{n_0}).$$

Clearly, barring « and en,/(z) and G,(r) have similar zeros and contains $\sigma_e(T);$ a limited number of them, state q, , ..., q, with orders m; > 0, i = 1, ..., k,- let m :—— m +- + m and

$P(z) := \prod_{i=1}^{k} (q_i - z)^{m_i}$. Note that Gz( ) 0 if "o > 0.

The function $H_\alpha(z) := G_\alpha(z)\, P(z)^{-1}$ is logical in D and has no zeros in $\sigma_e(T)$; in consequence we have

$$f(T) = H_\alpha(T)\, P(T)(\alpha - T)^n Q(T)^{-1}$$

with H (T) bijective. As f(T) has a similar invalid space and range as $[P(T)(\alpha - T)^{-m}](\alpha - T)^{n_0}$, and the same happens with $(\lambda - T)(\alpha - T)^{-1}$, and $\lambda - T$, we finish up from the recommendation that f(T) is moderately regular(normally resolvable) if and just if $(q_i - T)^{m_i},\ i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $(\alpha - T)^{-n_0}$ are relatively regular (normally solvable).

Notice that $(\alpha - T)^{-n_0}$ is generally ordinary (ordinarily feasible) if and just if D(Tn°) is supplemented (shut) in J.

REFERENCES

[1] *S. L. CAMPBELL (Ed.), Recent applications of generalized inverses, Research Notes in Math. Vol. 66, Pitman, London, 2016.*

[2] *S. R. CxRADuS, Mapping properties of relatively regular operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.47 (2015), 409—412.*

[3] *H. A. GINDLER, An operational calculus for meromorphic functions, Nagoya Math. J. 26 (2016), 31-38.*

[4] *M. GouzAEEZ AND V. M. ONi£vx, On the spectral mapping theorem for essential spectra,Publ. Sec. Max. Unit. Autom. Barcelona 29 (2015), 105—110.*

[5] *M. GoxzxLEZ AND V. M. OuiEva, On the meromorphic and Schechter—Shapiro operational calculi, J. Math. Anal. .4 ppl. 116 (2016), 363—377.*

[6] *M. Z. Nasi-rcn (Ed.), "Generalized Inverses and Applications," Academic Press, New York/London, 2016.*

[7] *A. E. TAYLOR AND D. C. LAY, "Introduction to Functional Analysis," Wiley, New York, 2017.*

[8] *T. YAMAMOTO, A note on the spectral mapping theorem, SIAM. J. Math. Anal. 2 (2016), 49—51.*

[9] *M. Mbektha – A. Ouahab: Perturbations des op´erateurs s-r´eguliers et continuit´e de certain sous-espaces dans le domaine quasi-Fredholm, Pub. IRMA, Lille Vol. 24,*

N◦ X (2013).

[10] Ch. Schmoeger: Ein Spektralabbildungssatz , Arch. Math. 55, 484-489, (2014).

[11] Ch. Schmoeger: The punctured neighbourhood theorem in Banach algebras, Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 91A, No. 2, 205-218, (2016).

[12] Ch. Schmoeger: Relatively regular operators and a spectral mapping theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 175, 315-320, (2017).